

**Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—In places like Chitaldrug, Tumkur and Kolar, where lands were granted under G. M. F. Scheme to landless people, if they have not cultivated those lands for 3 years out of 5 years according to the condition of the grant because of no rainfall in those areas and as they were affected areas, will Government show some kind of concession in such cases, Sir?

**Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.**—Certainly.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.**—ಈಚೆಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಜಮೀನಿಲ್ಲದವರಿಗೆ 5 ಎಕರೆಗೆ ಮೇಲ್ಮಟ್ಟು ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಡಕೂಡದೆಂದು ಕಾನೂನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರಲ್ಲವೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.**—ಹೌದು.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.**—ಆದರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಯಿರುವವರಿಗೆ 50 ಎಕರೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.**—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.**—ಅದನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.**—ಹಾಗೆ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದರೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

## QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(But not taken up)

*Supply of defective seeds in  
Kolar District.*

**Q.—205. Sri R. K. PRASAD** (Bangarpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it has come to their notice that the ryots have suffered very heavy damages on account of defective seeds supplied by the Government in Kolar District;

(b) what relief they propose to give to those affected ryots (talukwar information may kindly be furnished)?

**A.—Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA** (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

## Bridge across Honnuhole near Yelandur.

**Q.—375. Sri K. PUTTASWAMY** (Srirangapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that the people of Yelandur town and of surrounding villages are greatly inconvenienced as there is no bridge across the Honnuhole which is running in the middle of Yelandur town;

(b) whether they are aware that such a bridge is absolutely necessary to enable those people to effectively cultivate their lands;

(c) since when those people are urging upon the Government and the Mysore District Board to put up a bridge across the Honnuhole in Yelandur town;

(d) the steps the Government or the District Board have so far taken in the matter?

**A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA** (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) Yes. Only at the time of floods in the river.

(b) A bridge at the place would enable the people to cross the river even during floods.

(c) The question is referred to the Public Works Department recently by the Municipal Council, Yelandur.

(d) Plans and estimates have been called for.

## DISCUSSION ON QUESTION No. 71

**Re: NON-RECOGNITION OF DIPLOMAS OF  
THE GOVERNMENT AYURVEDIC AND  
UNANI COLLEGE.**

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Sri S. Srinivasa Iyengar has given notice under Rule 39 of the Rules of Procedure to raise discussion on the subject-matter of question No. 71 which was answered on the floor of the Assembly on 16th January 1954. This relates to the "non-recognition by certain States of the Diplomas of the Government Ayurvedic and Unani College at Mysore". It will be taken up on Wednesday

(MR. SPEAKER.)

the 20th January 1954 at the conclusion of business of the day.

Now the Voting on Demands for Supplementary Grants for the year 1953-54.

STATEMENT BY THE MINISTER FOR HOME AND INDUSTRIES *Re: REPLY TO QUESTION No. 551.*

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—Sir, with your permission I would like to make a small statement by way of a corrected reply which I have given on the floor of the House regarding question No. 551.

551ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ 15-1-1954ರಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಎಂ. ಜಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಯ್ಯನವರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಜವಾಬು ಹೇಳುವಾಗ ಹೊಸ ಹಲ್ಲರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡುವ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದಿದೆ, ಅವರಿಂದ ಜವಾಬು ಬಂದಕೂಡರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಪಾದ ಆರ್ಡರು ಮಾಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ನಾನು ಜವಾಬು ಕೊಡುವಾಗ ಅಗಲೇ ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ಆರ್ಡರು ಬಂದಿತ್ತು. ನನ್ನ ಕೆಲಸಗಳ ಗುರುತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜವಾಬು ಕೇಂದ್ರದಿಂದ ಬಂದಾಗ ನಾನು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ನೋಡಲು ಆವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಹಾಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಈಗ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪರ್ಮಿಷನ್ ಬಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಹಲ್ಲರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಶೀಘ್ರವಾಗಿ ಆರ್ಡರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತದೆ.

## DEMANDS FOR SUPPLEMENTARY GRANTS 1953-54.

DEMAND No. 1—LAND REVENUE.

MR. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

“That a supplementary sum not exceeding Rs. 27,000 be granted to the Government to defray the charges which will come in course of payment during the year ending 31st day of March 1954, in respect of ‘Land Revenue’.”

The first cut motion stands in the name of Sri J. Mohamed Imam.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, I am not moving the first cut motion because the Finance Minister is not here.

MR. SPEAKER.—This relates to ‘Land Revenue’. The Finance Minister’s presence is not necessary.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Anyhow, I am not moving it because I wanted to ask for some very important information regarding financial matter.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಮಾದಯ್ಯ (ನಂಜನಗೂಡು—ಅನು ಸೂಚಿತ ಪಾತಿಗಳು).—A point of clarification, Sir. ಸಖಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಗ್ರಾಂಟು ಮೇಲಿನ ಟೆಚ್‌ಗೆ ಎರಡು ದಿನಗಳೆಂದು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈ ದಿನ ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ಎಲ್ಲಯ ವರೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ?

MR. SPEAKER.—After 15 minutes I will be in a position to tell you. Of course, I will consult the House and tell you. Meanwhile you can give your own suggestion.

Sri T. MARIAPPA (Mysore City North).—Half the demands may be taken up today and the remaining half tomorrow.

MR. SPEAKER.—There are 14 cut motions. Half of them today and the other half tomorrow. That means.....

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—It is not a question of cut motions. Half the number of demands may be taken up today.

MR. SPEAKER.—That is what I am saying. We will proceed up to “Rural Development”.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, I rise to a point of order. I maintain that it is the privilege of this House that when a demand is moved, it is quite necessary that the Minister concerned must be present. We do expect some reply, now we are taking up the demand under Land Revenue and we expect that the Land Revenue Minister to be present. So I submit, that the Minister who handles the subject must be present. The Home Minister is present now and so we can take up that demand with which he is concerned.

MR. SPEAKER.—We have not adopted such a procedure so far.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—But I am raising the issue now.

MR. SPEAKER.—Perhaps such an occasion did not arise till now. Your point is quite relevant; I see that. But the difficulty is, since both the Houses are sitting simultaneously, the presence of the Minister concerned is also required in the other House. Both the Finance Minister and the Revenue